## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) HIRAYAMA, NOBUYUKI	
10/577,320		
Examiner	Art Unit	
HENOK LEGESSE	2861	

	HENOK LEGESSE	2861			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate set have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate set under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office acts torth in (b) above, if checked, A yne pely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if may reduce any serned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>         The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a)</li></ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.5-6.14-18.25-26</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:					
ALUL MATTIEN					

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2861

Application No. 10/577,320

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations "wherein the plurality of printing elements and the plurality of switching elements are divided into multiple groups; and a plurality of constant current sources, each of which comprises an NMOS transistor and is connected to one of the multiple groups such that each group has a constant current is ource, configured to supply a constant current to the printing elements in each of the multiple groups, wherein the reference current is directly supplied to the NMOS transistor of each constant current source so as to supply the constant current in accordance with the reference current, wherein each of the plurality of printing elements is directly connected to said first power supply line and each of the constant current sources is directly connected to said second power supply line\* as recited in claims 1 and 14 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.